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#### Abstract

This paper reports the development of microsatellite primers for Nelumbo nucifera Gaerten. By screening genomic libraries enriched with 10 kinds of probes, Seventeen polymorphic loci were isolated and primers were designed. Polymorphism of these 17 loci was assessed in 24 individuals. All the 17 loci are polymorphic and the number of alleles ranged from two to seven. Observed heterozygosity and expected heterozygosity ranged from 0.0000 to 0.9176 and from 0.2837 to 0.7917 respectively. These microsatellite loci should be useful for studying the genetic diversity of $N$. nucifera.
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[^0]Nelumbo is a small genus of aquatic angiosperm, comprising only two species, Nelumbo nucifera Gaerten. and Nelumbo lutea Willd. Nelumbo nucifera is found throughout Asia and north part of Australia and N. lutea is mainly distributed in the eastern North America.

Sacred lotus, Nelumbo nucifera Gaerten., is one of the most well known ornamental and economic plants in the world. It is extensively cultivated for the beauty of its flowers and unusual leaves in water gardens. Its rhizomes are an everyday vegetable consumed throughout China as well as other Asian countries and its seeds are used as a Chinese herbal medicine or a tonic. Lotus is also deeply embedded in Eastern culture and religion. In China lotus, $N$. nucifera has been cultivated for more than 3,000 years (Ni and Zhao 1987). Selection from wild forms and hybridization between cultivars are the two major ways for new cultivar breeding. Therefore, the wild populations are the important resource. However, distribution of wild lotus is extremely limited and endangered in China. Especially in recent years, with the development of aquaculture, the wild lotus is in the hard times of habitat fragmentation. Nelumbo nucifera has been listed in endangered species in China (Dong and Zheng 2005). Nelumbo lutea (American lotus), unlike its Asia relative $N$. nucifera, is less cultivated for ornament. However, wild N. lutea is in endangered status. For some lakes, specimens of $N$. lutea have ever collected, but there are not any now. For example, in Wisconsin, it is difficult to find this plant. So, it is stringent to protect wild American lotus. The success of any genetic resource conservation is dependent on understanding the genetic diversity of this species. However, it is scarce about the reports of genetic diversity of $N$. lutea.

Since very limited genetic diversity is harboured in wild populations, (for example, Xue et al. 2006) and it is difficult to give precise evaluations on the genetic variation,
Table 1 Characterization of the 17 microsatellite loci for Nelumbo nucifera, based on a sample of 24 individuals

| Name | Motif sequence | Primer sequence ( $5^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ ) | Size (bp) | Ta $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | A | $H_{E}$ | $H_{O}$ | HW | GenBank accession no. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nelumbo-04 | $(\mathrm{GA})_{18}$ | F: ATTATCTGCCCAAGCCTTCT | 242 | 55 | 2 | 0.4539 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | EU368651 |
|  |  | R: TGTTCCATTTGATCTGCGTC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nelumbo-06 | $(\mathrm{GA})_{7} \mathrm{GC}(\mathrm{GA})_{9}$ | F: GCATTCCCTTTATCCCACTC | 265 | 56 | 2 | 0.3369 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | EU368652 |
|  |  | R: GGAGCCCATGTCACTCTACG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nelumbo-13 | (GA) 22 | F: CGGCTAGAAACCCTAGATTTCTATA | 163 | 58 | 3 | 0.6782 | 0.6250 | 0.0000 | EU368653 |
|  |  | R: ACACTCTTCAGTTCAGTCTTCACCT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nelumbo-14 | (AG) ${ }_{14}$ | F: ATTTCATTTTGTATCTTTGATtTCT | 150 | 54 | 3 | 0.4885 | 0.2917 | 0.2243 | EU368654 |
|  |  | R: GACTGGATTGTACTTCTGAGTTCTA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nelumbo-15 | $(\mathrm{AGT})_{5}$ | F: TCCTTGGGGGTTGAGACTTAGA | 123 | 58 | 3 | 0.2970 | 0.0417 | 0.0002 | EU368655 |
|  |  | R: CAAGCAATGAACACGAGGAAAC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nelumbo-16 | $(\mathrm{GGT})_{6}$ | F: CGGTCACTTGCTAATTCAA | 201 | 50 | 4 | 0.4495 | 0.3333 | 0.0027 | EU368656 |
|  |  | R: AAGACTACCTTCACCTCCC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nelumbo-17 | $(\mathrm{TG})_{8}(\mathrm{AG})_{6}$ | F: GTGGCAATCCTTAAAGCTA | 218 | 50 | 5 | 0.7101 | 0.9167 | 0.0017 | EU368657 |
|  |  | R: TCTGTTTAGAAGCAATGTG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nelumbo-18 | $(\mathrm{GA})_{10} \mathrm{GG}(\mathrm{GA})_{6}$ | F: TTGGGGATTTCCTAACTGGT | 286 | 55 | 7 | 0.5851 | 0.4583 | 0.1283 | EU368658 |
|  |  | R: TCATTGTCTCAACAACTGGC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nelumbo-21 | $(\mathrm{TG})_{7}$ | F: TTTATtTTGGGGAAGAGGAATA | 193 | 52 | 3 | 0.3511 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | EU368659 |
|  |  | R: CTGACTTTTGTGAAATTCTGC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nelumbo-22 | $(\mathrm{CT})_{13}$ | F: AGCTTAGGGCTTTTATCTGCAC | 170 | 58 | 6 | 0.6995 | 0.3750 | 0.0000 | EU368660 |
|  |  | R: ATGGCAATGATAGAAAAGGGAG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nelumbo-23 | (TGG) ${ }_{6}$ | F: CAGCTTCACCTTCTGCACGAA | 287 | 58 | 3 | 0.5505 | 0.8750 | 0.0006 | EU368661 |
|  |  | R: GCCCAAGAACAATGGAGGAAC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nelumbo-24 | $(\mathrm{CCT})_{7}$ | F: ACTTCTTCAGCTCCACTGTCC | 203 | 55 | 3 | 0.5133 | 0.3333 | 0.2721 | EU368662 |
|  |  | R: GTAGTGGTAGCAAGAGGACGC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nelumbo-25 | (TG)6 | F: TGAGTTCTCCTCGCAATA | 195 | 50 | 2 | 0.2837 | 0.0000 | 0.0003 | EU368663 |
|  |  | R: AAAGAAGCCTTGATGGAA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nelumbo-27 | $(\mathrm{CT})_{25} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{TC})_{6}$ | F: TAAGCTAAGATAGGAATCCAACTAG | 178 | 55 | 4 | 0.6746 | 0.7917 | 0.0000 | EU368664 |
|  |  | R: AAAAGGATAGGAGATTAGTAGGTGA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nelumbo-32 | $(\mathrm{TC})_{19}$ | F: ATAATGGATTTTGGAGGTCTTG | 210 | 55 | 5 | 0.7491 | 0.1667 | 0.0000 | EU368665 |
|  |  | R: CTCTTCTTCATTCCTTTGGTTT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nelumbo-33 | $(\mathrm{TC})_{11}$ | F: ACTACTGGAATCTGCTGCAAGC | 252 | 58 | 5 | 0.7057 | 0.8333 | 0.0100 | EU368666 |
|  |  | R: CTGAAAGTGAACAGGCATCGTG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nelumbo-34 | $(\mathrm{TC})_{21} \mathrm{CCTCC}(\mathrm{CT})_{7}$ | F: TGGTTGGCACTGTAATCTTC | 151 | 52 | 6 | 0.7917 | 0.5833 | 0.0025 | EU368667 |
|  |  | R: CTGTTTCGACTCTAGGCTTC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean over all loci |  |  |  |  | 3.88 | $0.5481$ | 0.3897 |  |  | Size, expected fragment size from sequencing data; Ta, Annealing temperature; $A$, Number of alleles; $H_{E}$, Expected heterozyosity; $H_{O}$, Observed heterozygosity; HW, Significant deviation from Hardy-Weinberg level at $P=0.05$ and the GenBank accession numbers of the fragment sequences on which primers were designed

population structure and gene flow. The microsatellite or the simple sequence repeat ( SSR ) markers seem to be a suitable alternative technique for the characterization of genetic diversity in both wild and cultivated lotus due to it's reliable, informative, co-dominant nature and ease of exchange of data among different studies. In this paper we report the procedures of SSR development from enriched libraries and the primers designed for lotus.

Fresh leaves were collected from Honghu Lake, Hubei Province, China. Genomic DNA (about 200ng/ $\mu$ l) was extracted using the CTAB method (Doyle and Doyle 1987) and then purified with the Wizard DNA Clean-Up System (Promega). A micro-satellite enriched library was built following Glenn and Schable (2005) with some modifications. The total DNA was digested with Rsa I (New England Biolabs) and ligated to double-strand Super SNX-24 linker (forward $5^{\prime}$-GTT TAA GGC CTA GCT AGC AGA ATC-3', reverse $5^{\prime}$-pGAT TCT GCT AGC TAG GCC TTA AAC AAA-3'). The ligated DNA was denatured and hybridized to a mixture of the following single-strand bio-tinylated microsatellite probes $(1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ each): $(\mathrm{AG})_{12},(\mathrm{AT})_{12},(\mathrm{CG})_{12},(\mathrm{GT})_{12},(\text { for } \mathrm{ACG})_{12}$, $(\mathrm{ACT})_{12},(\mathrm{CCA})_{8},(\mathrm{AACT})_{8},(\mathrm{AAGT})_{8}$ and $(\mathrm{AGAT})_{8}$ for enrichment. Hybridized DNA was captured with streptavidin coated paramagnetic beads (Dynal Biotech Dynabeads M-280 Streptavidin) and collected with magnetic particle collecting unit (MPC, Dynal Biotech Dynal MPC-S). The enriched DNA was amplified by PCR and enriched again. The PCR was carried out in a $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ volume containing $1 \times$ HiFi Taq PCR SuperMix (TransGen Biotech, Beijing, China), $0.4 \mu \mathrm{M}$ superSNX-24 linker-forward as a primer and $2 \mu$ l of enriched DNA fragments. The PCR program started with an initial step at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 min , followed by 30 cycles of $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $20 \mathrm{~s}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 s , and $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 min , and ended with a final extension at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . The products from the second enrichment were PCR amplified, purified and ligated into pGEM-T easy vector (Promega), and transformed into Top 10 competent cells of E. coli (TransGen Biotech, Beijing, China). Positive clones were checked through PCR amplification of inserts using SP6 and T7 primers and the fragments of different size were sequenced on ABI 3730xl DNA analyzer (Applied Biosystems, USA). Sequences from both strands were assembled and edited using Sequencher 4.6 (Gene Codes Corporation, MI, USA) and microsatellite loci were sought with SSRHunter 1.3.0 (Qiang Li, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing, China). Primers were designed with Primer premier 5.0 (Premier Biosoft International).

Primer pairs were checked using 24 Nelumbo samples (23 N. nucifera cultivars and one N. lutea). PCR amplification reactions were carried out using $1 \times \operatorname{HiFi}$ Taq

PCR SuperMix (TransGen Biotech, Beijing, China), $0.25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of each primer and 25 ng DNA. PCR profile started with an activation step at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 min , followed by 30 cycles of 30 s at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~s}$ at the annealing temperatures given in Table 1, and 1 min at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and ended with a final extension at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . The products were checked with $2.5 \%$ agarose gels. The amplified products showing the band of expected size were separated on $6 \%$ denaturing polyacrylamide sequencing gels using silver staining.

Five hundred and fifty-three clones were found positive. Two hundred and twenty ( $40 \%$ ) positive clones with inserts of different sizes of the fragments were sequenced for the targeted fragments. Eighty-eight ( $40 \%$ ) contained SSRs. Of the 88 sequences, $32(36 \%)$ were unique, and 2 sequences were not suitable for primer design. So, 30 pairs of SSR primers were designed for amplifying 30 microsatellite loci and 17 pair primers showed the expected band.

We calculated alleles, Observed and expected heterozygosities and detected significant deviations from HardyWeinberg (HW) proportions (Table 1) and linkage disequilibrium (LD) between primer pairs using the software GENEPOP version 3.4 based on 24 N . nucifera samples (Raymond and Rousset 1995). All tested 17 primers showed polymorphism and all primer stets amplified one or two bands in N. lutea. The number of alleles ranged from two to seven, Observed heterozygosity and expected heterozygosity ranged from 0.0000 to 0.9176 and from 0.2837 to 0.7917 respectively (Table 1). The polymorphism is higher than other marker RAPD and ISSR (Xue et al. 2006). All loci except Nelumbo-14, Nelumbo-18, Nelumbo-24 and Nelumbo-33 loci showed significant deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium ( $P<0.01$ ). The test samples (almost were cultivars) should be the most plausible explanation for deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. In this study, there was significant linkage disequilibrium ( $P<0.01$ ) between the loci pairs of Nelumbo-14 and Nelumbo-13; Nelumbo-15 and Nelumbo17; Nelumbo-16 and Nelumbo-6 or Nelumbo-32; Nelumbo-24 and Nelumbo-14, Nelumbo-17, Nelumbo-25 or Nelumbo-33. Except loci Nelumbo-24, no significant LD was found after correction for multiple tests. This test indicates that primers here we designed could be useful in the studies of genetic diversity of Nelumbo and will provide useful implications for resource conservation.
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